As the emerging markets hard currency universe has evolved from an asset class predominantly composed of sovereign debt to a more diversified one including sovereigns, quasi-sovereigns, and corporate debt, opportunities to create better risk-adjusted portfolios using corporate debt have emerged.
Introduction

We believe exposure to corporates in sovereign portfolios can be an important source of alpha. By adding corporates to our sovereign portfolios, we aim to capture the excess premium over the sovereign through a systematic, risk-managed approach.

Our process seeks to capture the additional spread corporates offer over their sovereigns’ while avoiding exposing the portfolio to excess idiosyncratic corporate credit risk.

Our security-selection process seeks to take advantage of attractive spread pickup while mitigating risks by combining a valuation screening within predefined risk parameters with our bottom-up corporate credit analysis.

By adding spread while avoiding issuers on a deteriorating credit trend, we believe we can improve the risk/return profile of our portfolios.

“Our process seeks to capture the excess spread corporates offer over their sovereigns while avoiding exposing the portfolio to excess idiosyncratic corporate credit risk.”

In the pages that follow, Luis Olguin, CFA, and Mariana Villalba, CFA, portfolio managers on our team, provide insights that I hope offer a useful guide for emerging markets debt (EMD) investors.

Marcelo Assalin, CFA
HEAD OF WILLIAM BLAIR’S EMERGING MARKETS DEBT TEAM

1 “Sovereigns” refers to their country of risk.
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As the emerging market hard currency universe has evolved from an asset class predominantly composed of sovereign debt to a more diversified one including sovereigns, quasi-sovereigns, and corporate debt, opportunities to create better risk-adjusted portfolios using corporate debt have emerged. The hard currency EMD universe is currently valued at more than $3 trillion, with each sub-asset class—sovereigns, quasi-sovereigns, and corporate debt—accounting for approximately one-third of the total.

Quasi-sovereign and corporate credit issuers usually trade at an additional spread to their country of risk. While corporate credit can have quite different risks than sovereign credit, we believe a systematic and bottom-up approach is useful in extracting the spread-over-sovereign (SoS) premium while managing excess idiosyncratic corporate credit risk.

Most emerging markets (EM) corporate issues trade at a higher spread than their respective sovereigns, reflecting an additional premium for risk. According to our analysis, based on the bonds that compose the J.P. Morgan Corporate Emerging Markets Bond Index (CEMBI) Broad and J.P. Morgan Emerging Markets Bond Index Global (EMBIG), about two-thirds of corporate bonds offer at least 100 basis points (bps) in additional spreads to their comparable sovereign bonds, as exhibit 1 illustrates.

Similarly, J.P. Morgan analysis shows that corporate bonds in the J.P. Morgan CEMBI offer, on average, an 83-bps pickup relative to their sovereign bonds as of June 26, 2020, as exhibit 2 illustrates.

Lastly, as exhibit 3 on the following page shows, when looking at some of the largest countries in the J.P. Morgan CEMBI Broad, such as Brazil, Colombia, Indonesia, China, Russia, and Peru, the spread of the corporate index over the sovereign index (the J.P. Morgan EMBI) ranges from 75 to 430 bps as of June 25, 2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXHIBIT 1</th>
<th>SoS of Individual Corporate Bonds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source:</strong> J.P. Morgan and Bloomberg, as of June 26, 2020. Includes bonds in the J.P. Morgan CEMBI and J.P. Morgan EMBIG. This analysis excludes corporate floating bonds, corporate bonds from countries that do not have sovereign curves, corporate bonds that are not rated, and bonds issued by quasi-sovereigns (corporates that are 100% state-owned). Not rating-constrained.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average SoS, J.P. Morgan CEMBI (bps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source:</strong> J.P. Morgan, “EM Corporate Spread to Sovereign Report,” published June 26, 2020. Past performance is not indicative of future returns. Indices are unmanaged and do not incur fees or expenses. A direct investment in an unmanaged index is not possible.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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EXHIBIT 3

J.P. Morgan CEMBI vs. EMBI Spreads, Selected Countries (bps)

Source: J.P. Morgan, as of June 25, 2020. Past performance is not indicative of future returns. Indices are unmanaged and do not incur fees or expenses. A direct investment in an unmanaged index is not possible.
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At the same time, the credit quality of many EM corporate issuers can be significantly intertwined with the macroeconomic conditions and policy agenda of their respective countries, either because the issuers belong to highly regulated and/or domestically driven sectors, such as utilities, financials, and infrastructure, or because they are partly owned by their sovereigns.

These relationships affect corporates’ credit ratings. The majority of corporate issuers are rated below their country of risk. While the stand-alone credit quality of many corporate issuers merits a lower rating than the country of risk, there are also a large number of issuers whose ratings are capped by their sovereign’s rating even though their intrinsic credit quality suggests a higher rating. Alternatively, corporate issuers with government ownership and strategic importance to a country can benefit from rating uplifts due to an expected high likelihood of sovereign support should the issuer require it.

Even though ratings vary by rating agency, our analysis suggest only 2% to 8% of issuers in the J.P. Morgan CEMBI are rated above their respective sovereign while an additional 6% to 13% have the same rating as their country of risk. Exhibit 4 illustrates this.

“If a country’s fundamental deterioration leads to a currency depreciation, these issuers could benefit.”

Luis Olguin, CFA, Portfolio Manager
Alternatively, there are also companies whose credit trajectories are, to some extent, negatively correlated with their sovereigns, such as commodity exporters, as shown in exhibit 5. These issuers are more exposed to the global dynamics of their industries than to domestic conditions. If a country’s fundamental deterioration leads to a currency depreciation, these issuers could benefit through hard-currency-denominated sales and local currency cost structures. In those cases, adding portfolio exposure to these corporates could create benefits within the country allocation.

Adding corporate exposure to a sovereign portfolio offers the clear advantage of the spread pickup over a sovereign’s curve, but it also exposes the portfolio to a range of new risk factors outside the scope of sovereign risks. Sovereign debt risk factors include fiscal conditions, debt sustainability, capital flows, political risk, and reform momentum (or lack thereof). Corporate credit risk includes, in addition to the sovereign risk component itself, risks associated with the company’s financial fundamentals, sector, liquidity, and quality of management, as well as risks related to environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors and regulatory risks.

To properly address this broad range of risks, we analyze corporate issuers using a multi-risk factor framework, including financial risk, business profile risk; management and strategy, debt structure, ESG, and sovereign risk.

EXHIBIT 5
Brazilian Pulp and Paper SoS (bps) vs. USD/BRL

Source: J.P. Morgan, as of June 25, 2020. Past performance is not indicative of future returns. Indices are unmanaged and do not incur fees or expenses. A direct investment in an unmanaged index is not possible.
We aim to capture the excess spread corporates offer over their sovereigns while avoiding exposing the portfolio to excess idiosyncratic corporate credit risk. To achieve this objective, we adopt a bottom-up approach to security selection. We select the majority of the corporate securities for our sovereign portfolios through our SoS framework, which combines a valuation screen, fundamental analysis, and risk-management framework.

1: Corporate and Sovereign Pairings
When possible, we match each bond in the J.P. Morgan CEMBI Broad to the closest-duration sovereign bond of its respective country in the J.P. Morgan EMBIG. Because some countries (such as China) have a limited number of sovereign bonds issued, we also use bonds issued by quasi-sovereigns (100% state-owned companies) as a proxy for their sovereign curves.

2: Ratings Constraint
Our model selects EM corporate bonds whose rating differential relative to their respective sovereigns is limited, depending on the level of sovereign risk in the country, defined through our beta-bucket methodology. We allow for higher deviation between the corporate rating and the sovereign rating in low-beta countries.

3: Liquidity Risk
To mitigate liquidity risk, we prefer investing in issuances with outstanding amounts above $500 million.

4: Valuation Screening
We select the pairs of corporate and sovereign bonds that offer positive historical risk-adjusted spread differential. We believe that spread relationships should revert to the mean if fundamental trajectories have not digressed, and thus use this framework to identify potential excess returns.

5: Fundamental Analysis
Once we have screened the universe for attractive valuations within our risk-management framework, our fundamental analysis comes into play. We select issuers for which our analysis suggests an improving or neutral fundamental credit risk. This way, through our bottom-up analysis, we aim to minimize the risk of significant drawdowns or defaults and we avoid investing in issuers whose credit profile is negative.

6: Monitoring
The outcome of this process is discussed among portfolio managers once a month as the team scans the universe for new opportunities. Effective management of corporate credit entails a constant review of valuation and fundamentals. We constantly monitor existing positions and formally review performance on a biweekly basis. Our team’s focus on formal and informal communication ensures that we discuss changes in the investments theses and enact portfolio decisions in a timely manner.

EXHIBIT 6
Process

1. Corporate and Sovereign Pairings
   Match a bond in the J.P. Morgan CEMBI Broad to the closest-duration sovereign bond of its respective country in the J.P. Morgan EMBIG.

2. Rating Constraint
   Select EM corporate bonds whose rating differential relative to their respective sovereigns is limited based on our beta-bucket methodology.

3. Liquidity Risk
   Focus on issuances with outstanding amounts above $500 million.

4. Valuation Screening
   Select the pairs of corporate and sovereign bonds that offer positive historical risk-adjusted spread differential.

5. Fundamental Analysis
   Select issuers for which our analysis suggests an improving or neutral fundamental credit risk.

6. Monitoring
   Engage in a constant review of valuation and fundamentals.
We maintain limited exposure to corporates in countries with high-risk profiles (high-beta countries) because the high level of sovereign risk in those countries can have unpredictable negative effects on the credit profiles and ability of companies to service their debt. These risks can materialize through regulatory changes, such as higher taxes and price controls; legal action, such as property expropriation; and intervention in financial markets, such as the imposition of capital controls.

Nevertheless, we have observed many cases of corporates in high-beta countries outperforming their respective sovereigns due to their strong credit profiles and transparent access to U.S. dollars. In those cases, relative valuation can be another reason to avoid exposure to corporates in high-beta countries. Some of these companies trade at a tighter spread than their sovereigns. This is the case in many sovereigns that trade at distressed levels, such as Argentina, Nigeria, and Zambia.

“The most recent output of our framework selected 54 bonds from 43 different issuers in 17 countries, offering an average spread over sovereign of 189 bps.”

Mariana Villalba, CFA, Portfolio Manager

China, Brazil, and Indonesia accounted for most of the recommendations (24%, 19%, and 11%, respectively); the high representation of China and Brazil is unsurprising as those are the largest countries in the CEMBI Broad.

In terms of sectors, financials clearly dominated, accounting for 43% of the recommendations, including securities in the UAE, Brazil, China, Indonesia, Philippines, Qatar, and Poland. Bonds in the utilities, oil and gas, and industrials sectors made up 15%, 13%, and 11%, respectively, of the total recommendations.

Our Beta-Bucket Methodology

As the relative spread differential between high-beta and low-beta countries has expanded markedly, the opportunity in risky countries has become attractive. By dividing the world into three major beta buckets (or risk categories), we believe we can manage risk and identify opportunities more effectively while ensuring a strong risk management discipline relative to the benchmark. We believe the diversified beta-bucket exposure methodology our team has been successfully using for many years allows us to navigate choppy waters better than many peers with a similar style.

In terms of regional breakdown, Asia represented 43% of recommendations, Latin America 39%, and Central and Eastern Europe, Middle East, and Africa (CEEMEA) 19%. Because the CEEMEA region has a higher share of high-beta countries than Asia and Latin America do, it usually represents a smaller share of the model’s recommendations.
Takeaways

To avoid exposing portfolios to excessive idiosyncratic corporate risk, we believe it is important to add this exposure through a systematic, risk-managed approach. Our security-selection process seeks to take advantage of attractive spread pickup while mitigating risks by combining a valuation screening within predefined risk parameters with our bottom-up credit analysis.

While historical default rates for EM corporates have been modest (averaging 2.8% over the past 10 years ending in 2019), we acknowledge the off-benchmark nature of exposures, which warrants a cautious and quality-focused methodology. By adding spread while avoiding issuers on a deteriorating credit trend, we believe we can improve the risk/return profile of our portfolios.

“By adding corporates to our sovereign portfolios, we aim to capture the excess premium over the sovereign created by market dislocations.”

Luis Olguin, CFA, Portfolio Manager

We believe exposure to corporates in sovereign portfolios is an important source of alpha. By adding corporates to our sovereign portfolios, we aim to capture the excess premium over the sovereign created by market dislocations. This can occur for two reasons: first, because of the linkage of some corporates to their respective sovereigns’ trajectories while providing additional yield, and second, because a corporate’s price performance is not always fully correlated to a deterioration of a sovereign’s fundamentals and corporates can act as a diversifier.
Past performance is not indicative of future returns. Investing involves risks, including the possible loss of principal. Investing in foreign denominated and/or domiciled securities may involve heightened risk due to currency fluctuations, and economic and political risks. These risks may be enhanced in emerging markets. Investing in the bond market is subject to certain risks including market, interest rate, issuer, credit, and inflation risk. Rising interest rates generally cause bond prices to fall. Sovereign debt securities are subject to the risk that an entity may default or refuse to pay interest or principal on its sovereign debt because of cash flow problems, insufficient foreign reserves, or political or other considerations. High-yield, lower-rated securities involve greater risk than higher-rated securities; portfolios that invest in them may be subject to greater levels of credit and liquidity risk than portfolios that do not. Individual securities may not perform as expected or a strategy used by the Adviser may fail to produce its intended result. Diversification does not ensure against loss. Framework output is provided for illustrative purposes only and is subject to change without notice.

The J.P. Morgan Corporate Emerging Markets Bond Index (CEMBI) is a market-capitalization-weighted index consisting of U.S.-dollar-denominated corporate bonds issued by emerging markets entities. The J.P. Morgan CEMBI Broad is one of four sub-indices of the CEMBI family; it includes bonds with an outstanding amount of $300mm and above and has no diversification weighting scheme. The J.P. Morgan Emerging Markets Bond Index Global (EMBIG) tracks total returns for traded external debt instruments in the emerging markets. (Index information has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable but J.P. Morgan does not warrant its completeness or accuracy. The indices are used with permission. The indices may not be copied, used, or distributed without J.P. Morgan’s prior written approval. Copyright 2020, JPMorgan Chase & Co. All rights reserved.) Beta is a quantitative measure of the volatility of a portfolio relative to the overall market, represented by a comparable benchmark.

This material is distributed in the United Kingdom and the European Economic Area (EEA) by William Blair International, Ltd., authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA), and is only directed at and is only made available to persons falling within articles 19, 38, 47, and 49 of the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005 (all such persons being referred to as “relevant persons”). This document is distributed in Australia by William Blair Investment Management, LLC (“William Blair”), which is exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services license under Australia’s Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) pursuant to ASIC Class Order 03/1000. William Blair is registered as an investment advisor with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and regulated by the SEC under the U.S. Investment Advisers Act of 1940, which differs from Australian laws. This document is distributed only to wholesale clients as that term is defined under Australia’s Corporations Act 2001 (Cth).

This material is not intended for distribution, publication, or use in any jurisdiction where such distribution or publication would be unlawful. This document is the property of William Blair and is not intended for distribution or dissemination, directly or indirectly, to any other persons than those to which it has been addressed exclusively for their personal use. It is being supplied to you solely for your information and may not be reproduced, modified, forwarded to any other person or published, in whole or in part, for any purpose without the prior written consent of William Blair.